

State Annual Report

Fiscal Year 2022-2023





Annual Report AR-1

Fresno Revenue and Expenditure Summary July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023

Revenue Detail

Category	Amount
Tobacco Tax Funds	\$8,544,306
First 5 IMPACT 2020 Funds	\$1,202,646
Small Population County Augmentation Funds	\$0
Home Visiting Coordination Funds	\$0
Refugee Family Support Funds	\$150,784
Other First 5 California Funds	\$0
Other First 5 California Funds Description	'
ou bur s	
Other Public Funds	\$126,220
Other Public Funds Description	ce the Glow! Group Prenatal Care program. Revenue
Other Public Funds Description Funds (\$118,920) from the Fresno County Department of Public Health to enhance	
Other Public Funds Description Funds (\$118,920) from the Fresno County Department of Public Health to enhance (\$7,300) from First 5 Kern & First 5 Merced to support the scaling of Glow! to other	ce the Glow! Group Prenatal Care program. Revenue er counties.
Other Public Funds Description Funds (\$118,920) from the Fresno County Department of Public Health to enhance (\$7,300) from First 5 Kern & First 5 Merced to support the scaling of Glow! to other Donations	ce the Glow! Group Prenatal Care program. Revenue er counties. \$6
Other Public Funds Description Funds (\$118,920) from the Fresno County Department of Public Health to enhance (\$7,300) from First 5 Kern & First 5 Merced to support the scaling of Glow! to other Donations Revenue From Interest Earned Grants	\$378,210 \$138,874
Other Public Funds Description Funds (\$118,920) from the Fresno County Department of Public Health to enhance (\$7,300) from First 5 Kern & First 5 Merced to support the scaling of Glow! to other Donations Revenue From Interest Earned Grants Grants Description Dollars from Blue Meridian Partners administered through Fresno County Superint Care and Fresno GROWS, a place-based effortprioritizing interventions in four cere	te the Glow! Group Prenatal Care program. Revenue er counties. \$(\$378,216) \$138,874

Category	Amount
Other Funds Other Revenue includes tenant rent payments and indirect dollars received from Grants and Other Funds	·
Total Revenue	\$10,724,016

Improved Family Functioning

Service	Grantee	Program(s)	Children	Caregivers	Providers	Amount
General Family Support	CBO/Non-Profit	Not Applicable (Parent-Child Interaction Courses, Child Developmental Activities, Parenting Skill-Building, Family Literacy, Early Screenings)	1055	847	92	\$487,491
General Family Support	County Health & Human Services	Not Applicable (Neighborhood Resource Centers)	9735	0	0	\$200,000
Intensive Family Support	CBO/Non-Profit	Not Applicable (Nurturing Skills for Teen Parents/Families, Just Beginning Program, NPP, other)	146	242	0	\$329,877
Intensive Family Support	Resource and Referral Agency (COE or Non-Profit)	Not Applicable (Family Connections Program (Strengthening Families, MPAP, NPP))	70	63	0	\$90,115
					Total	\$1,107,483

Service	Grantee	Program(s)	Children	Caregivers	Providers	Amount
Family Literacy and Book Programs	First 5 County Commission	Potter the Otter	680	340	0	\$0
					Total	\$1,107,483

Improved Child Development

	Grantee	Program(s)	Children	Caregivers	Providers	Amount
Quality Early Learning Supports	County Office of Education/School District	Quality Counts California	0	0	1042	\$1,213,205
Quality Early Learning Supports	First 5 County Commission	Quality Counts California	0	0	0	\$503,341
Reason for no p	opulation served: Internal IN	1PACT Hub expenses, provider co	ounts reported	under other Qua	lity Early Learn	ing Categories
Quality Early Learning Supports	First 5 County Commission	Not Applicable (Childcare)	0	0	0	\$176,042
Reason for no pe	opulation served: Child cour	nts reported under Early Learning	Programs thre	ough partnership	with County O	ffice of
Quality Early Learning Supports	Other Private/For Profit	Quality Counts California	0	0	54	\$38,338
Learning	Other Private/For Profit Research/Consulting Firm	· •	0	0	0	\$38,338 \$279,438
Learning Supports Quality Early Learning Supports	Research/Consulting Firm	California • Quality Counts	0	-		
Learning Supports Quality Early Learning Supports	Research/Consulting Firm	• Quality Counts California	0	-		

Improved Child Health

Service	Grantee	Program(s)	Children	Caregivers	Providers	Unique Families	Amount
Early Intervention	County Office of Education/School District	Care Coordination and Linkage	409	227	29	0	\$221,085
Early Intervention	County Health & Human Services	Not Applicable (Nurse Liaison, Nurse-Family Partnership, Community Health Teams)	599	589	134	493	\$1,367,892
Early Intervention	First 5 County Commission	• Not Applicable ((Community Learning Center, Basic Family Needs, Facility Tenant Services))	771	0	0	0	\$208,741
Prenatal and Infant/Toddler Pediatric Support	CBO/Non-Profit	Not Applicable (Glow! Group Prenatal Care)	0	29	0	29	\$269,982
	ı		1			Total	\$2,267,522

Service	Grantee	Program(s)	Children	Caregivers	Providers	Unique Families	Amount
Prenatal and Infant/Toddler Pediatric Support	Other Private/For Profit	Not Applicable (Black Maternal Wellness Innovation Lab)	0	5	0	0	\$49,505
Prenatal and Infant/Toddler Pediatric Support	First 5 County Commission	Not Applicable (Glow! Group Prenatal Care and Expansion)	0	0	0	0	\$150,317
Reason for no p	opulation served: Dat	a reported under partners	hip with CBO				
						Total	\$2,267,522

Improved Systems Of Care

Service	Grantee	Program(s)	Amount
Policy and Public Advocacy	First 5 County Commission	Not Applicable (Communications and public awareness strategies, website, sponsorships)	\$50,561
Policy and Public Advocacy	First 5 County Commission	Resilient Families and Communities	\$8,626
Policy and Public Advocacy	Research/Consulting Firm	Not Applicable (Infant Safe Sleep Education & Awareness Campaign)	\$321,714
Policy and Public Advocacy	Research/Consulting Firm	Not Applicable (Child-Friendly Business Awards Campaign)	\$48,375
Systems Building	CBO/Non-Profit	Not Applicable (African American Infant Mortality Prevention Innovation Efforts)	\$272,651
Systems Building	County Office of Education/School District	Early Identification and Intervention	\$100,000
Systems Building	First 5 County Commission	 Not Applicable (Partner Support & Strategic Initiatives, Program Salaries/ Expense) 	\$608,797
	1	Total	\$1,433,324

Service	Grantee	Program(s)	Amount
Systems Building	Research/Consulting Firm	Not Applicable (Communications- Website Development)	\$22,600
		Total	\$1,433,324

Expenditure Details

Category	Amount
Program Expenditures	\$7,043,013
Administrative Expenditures	\$1,115,363
Evaluation Expenditures	\$417,842
Total Expenditures	\$8,576,218
Excess (Deficiency) Of Revenues Over (Under) Expenses	\$2,147,798

Other Financing Details

Category	Amount
Sale(s) of Capital Assets	\$0
Other This amount is included due to the new implementation of GASB 96 and is shown on our financial statements as "Proceeds from Subscription Arrangement". This is tied to the note in the Expenditure Detail portion of the reporting.	\$324,423
Total Other Financing Sources	\$324,423

Net Change in Fund Balance

Category	Amount
Fund Balance - Beginning	\$29,777,464
Fund Balance - Ending	\$32,249,685
Net Change In Fund Balance	\$2,472,221

Fiscal Year Fund Balance

Category	Amount
Nonspendable	\$10,969
Restricted	\$1,446,677
Committed	\$10,757,415
Assigned	\$20,034,624
Unassigned	\$0
Total Fund Balance	\$32,249,685

Expenditure Note

Due to the new implementation of GASB 96, our auditors required that we include Debt Service and Capitol Outlay to our FS. Within the \$1,115,363 entered in the "Administrative Costs" Section include: Administrative Costs (\$497,774), Principal- GASB 96 (\$286,758), Interest-GASB 96 (\$5,767), and Capital Outlay-GASB 96 (\$325,064). Note: This is also tied to the "Other Financing Sources" entered into the state report.



Annual Report AR-2

Fresno Demographic Worksheet July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023

Population Served

Category	Number
Children Less than 3 Years Old	6,572
Children from 3rd to 6th Birthday	5,688
Children – Ages Unknown (birth to 6th Birthday)	1,219
Primary Caregivers	2,322
Providers	1,351
Total Population Served	17,152

Primary Languages Spoken in the Home

Category	Number of Children	Number of Primary Caregivers
Cantonese	1	1
Vietnamese	2	0
Mandarin	7	2
Other - Specify with text box Hmong, Indigenous Languages of Mexico, ASL, others	357	184
Unknown	1,924	548
English	4,662	946
Spanish	6,526	641
Totals	13,479	2,322

Race/Ethnicity of Population Served

Category	Number of Children	Number of Primary Caregivers
Alaska Native/American Indian	13	3
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	23	4
Asian	238	63
Two or more races	242	40
White	614	136
Black/African-American	618	104
Unknown	1,595	618
Hispanic/Latino	9,642	1,212
Other – Specify with text box Hmong, Punjabi, Ukranian, and others	494	142
Totals	13,479	2,322

Duplication Assessment

Category	Data
Degree of Duplication	15%
Confidence in Data	Moderately confident
Additional Details (Optional)	Duplication may occur if families participate in multiple services/resources across programs.



Annual Report AR-3

Fresno County Evaluation Summary and Highlights
July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023

County Evaluation Summary

Evaluation Activities Completed, Findings, and Policy Impact

In Fiscal Year 2022-23, First 5 Fresno County (F5FC) continued operating under its five-year Strategic Plan and corresponding Evaluation Framework. A mixed methods approach was used to learn not only how well services are meeting their intended needs and how they can be adapted for improvement, but also how and why they are having an impact. Evaluation activities for FY 2022-23 include: 1. Evaluation of Core Investment Areas: First 5 Fresno County's 2020-25 evaluation framework is designed to evaluate the Commission's five core investment areas, as detailed in the Strategic Plan: (1) African American Infant Mortality Prevention (AAIMP), (2) Direct Service Programs (DS), (3) Help Me Grow Fresno County (HMG), (4) the Lighthouse for Children (LFC), and (5) Quality Counts California (QCC). F5FC-funded programs use the Apricot database to track demographic and service use data for children, parents, and professionals who receive services. In FY 2022-23, the evaluation focused on HMG, QCC, and AAIMP investment areas. Quantitative and qualitative data was collected via surveys and focus groups with QCC providers and focus groups with families who have accessed HMG or related developmental services. Participant and narrative data from the Apricot database, along with the survey and focus group data was analyzed and shared with First 5 staff and included in written reports for HMG and QCC core investment areas. Additionally, First 5 staff participated in a consensus workshop to develop a logic model for their AAIMP investment area to guide and inform their work moving forward. The key findings below are based on the data collection activities conducted this year and used to inform improvements to services moving forward. QCC Findings: • ECE providers participating in QCC perceive it as a key resource for improving the quality of services they provide. • ECE providers would benefit from more time spent with their coaches and advanced/deeper knowledge building on specific topics, such as how to support children with special needs, behavioral concerns, PIRC, and assessment tools such as the DRDP, ECERS and CLASS. • ECE providers experienced inconsistencies in the language accessibility and cultural responsiveness. For example: Spanish language trainings offered after English language trainings occurred, and some found their trainers did not understand the unique needs of families from rural

areas or those that don't have stable home environments. • ECE providers appreciated the program's support in enhancing their visibility in the community and their ability to connect with and service more children and families HMG Findings: • Caregivers appreciate the support of the HMG call line and the developmental services they were connected to. • Children who receive services are making progress towards reaching their developmental milestones and improved social skills. • Caregivers are increasing their own capacity to support their children's overall development and advocate for their child's needs. • Challenges faced by caregivers trying to access the HMG system include service eligibility requirements, waitlists/delays, lack of communication from providers, and language and geographic barriers.

County Highlights

County Highlight

At First 5 Fresno County (F5FC) we believe that addressing challenges facing families requires systemic change. From private businesses to community-based agencies, schools to hospitals and beyond, we can all make things work better for families. The following are examples of cross sector partnerships to innovate new ways of supporting families with young children. 1) Glow! Group Prenatal Care is an enhanced prenatal care program that brings together eight to 12 pregnant patients with similar due dates to receive prenatal medical care, education, and peer support in a group setting. Patients are provided with free wrap-around services (e.g. child care, food support, transportation stipends and information on community resources) to create a one-stop appointment. Since 2019, we and our partners have implemented Glow! as part of a national study comparing two types of prenatal care (group and individual care) with hopes to determine which type of care is best suited to address maternal mental health stressors such as depression and anxiety, preterm birth and satisfaction of care. Findings will inform policy decisions determining the type of prenatal care available to women and birthing people locally, statewide and nationwide. 2) Seventeen years ago, the Commission launched the Child-Friendly Business Awards (CFBA) Campaign to advocate for systems and policy change to improve the lives of families with young children. For almost two decades, CFBA has been a tool to develop, nurture, and strengthen relationships between F5FC and local businesses in order to highlight and promote outstanding efforts made by employers to promote a work-family integration for their employees. To date, over 80 local businesses have been honored as Award Winners ranging from a small tire company to the local state university. This investment, although not large, has become a desired recognition for employers as a new strategy to show care and acknowledge their employees.